

DeepDebate “decision support tool”  
www.deepdebate.org

- way to manage conversation so best ideas bubble up from the bottom
- peer-to-peer learning
- complements lecture
- multi-dimensions, mapped out in conversation map

Background

Theory

Pilot Projects

Collaborative Exercise

Theory

- creative disagreement
- (devil’s advocate)

Conversation Mapping

- post / responses as axes
- color-coded for agreement

[Frame work of discussion set up – column ‘a’]  
conversation grows over time

map can grow synchronously, or over time

Collaborative Filtering

- we each see a ‘plot of land’ in the discussion map
- each tends theirs, then the whole is tended
- countermeasures to sabotaging the debate
- like Amazon, sees ‘best to worst’
- credibility can be earned or you can arrive with it, by ratings

Faculty can ‘up’ their own credibility at the outset

Gaurav mishra (GU visibility v. ease)

Can accommodate very wide bandwidth of simultaneous speakers

Large scale

Volume conversation

Partial anonymity

Measured credibility

Sorted best to worst

Visualize common ground – (weak point, or one with consensus)

Contextualized (can insert into a website)

Multi-media

Combining disciplines through shared questions, problems

In responses, students signify AGREE or DISAGREE, and if DISAGREE, why?